

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/658,456	09/10/2003	Tatsuhiro Fukuzawa	50195-387	2237
McDFRMOTT	7590 02/21/2007 , WILL & EMERY	EXAMINER LEE, CYNTHIA K		
600 13th Street	, N.W.			
Washington, D	C 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1745	,
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/21/2007	PAI	PER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

				V
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/658,456	FUKUZAWA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Cynthia Lee	1745	·
T	he MAILING DATE of this communication app eply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
WHICHE - Extension after SIX (- If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Od for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, received by the Office later than three months after the mailing tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			•	
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed on 04 De	<u>ecember 2006</u> .		
2a) <u></u> Th	is action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3)☐ Sir	nce this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is	
clo	sed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition	of Claims			
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	aim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. Of the above claim(s) 3-6,9-11 and 19 is/are aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) 1,2,7,8 and 12-18 is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	e withdrawn from consideration.		
Application	Papers			
10)⊠ The App Re	e specification is objected to by the Examine e drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 is/a plicant may not request that any objection to the oplacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrective oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Ack a)⊠ A 1.[2.[3.[nowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)		,		
2) Notice of 3) Information	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) (s)/Mail Date 9/10/03,5/3/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: <u>IDS: 9/29/20</u>	ate Patent Application	

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group I and Species I-b in the reply filed on 12/4/2006 is acknowledged.

The traversal is on the ground(s) that claims 1-3, 7, 8, and 12-19 are generic to all species. This is not found persuasive because the argument that claim 19 being generic to all species is irrelevant because claim 19 was not part of the election of Group I. Further, the Examiner disagrees that 1-3, 7, 8, and 12-19 are generic and notes that only claims 1,2, 12-18 are generic to Group I.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Priority

Acknowledgement has been made of applicant's claim for priority under 35 USC 119 (a-d). The certified copy has been filed on 9/10/2003.

Information Disclosure Statement

The Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) filed 9/29/2006, 5/3/2004, and 9/10/2003 have been placed in the application file and the information referred to therein has been considered.

Drawings

The drawings received 9/10/2003 are acceptable for examination purposes.

Claim Analysis

The Examiner has taken a reasonable and broadest interpretation of the limitation "wire" to mean the definition 1. of the following:

wire

Art Unit: 1745



1. A usually pliable metallic strand or rod made in many lengths and diameters, sometimes clad and often electrically insulated, used chiefly for structural support or to

2. A group of wire strands bundled or twisted together as a functional unit; cable

3. Something resembling a wire, as in slenderness or stiffness.

4. An open telephone connection.

Slang. A hidden microphone, as on a person's body or in a building.

a. A telegraph service

b. A telegram or cablegram.

8. Computer Science. A pin in the print head of a computer printer.
9. The screen on which sheets of paper are formed in a papermaking machine.

10. Sports. The finish line of a racetrack.

11. wires

a. The system of strings employed in manipulating puppets in a show

b. Hidden controlling influences.

12. Slang, A pickpocket.

13. Fencing made of usually barbed wire

v. wired, wireing, wires.

1. To bind, connect, or attach with wires or a wire.

2. To string (beads, for example) on wire

To equip with a system of electrical wires.
 Slang. To install electronic eavesdropping equipment in (a room, for example).

5. To send by telegraph: wired her congratulations.

6. To send a telegram to

7. Computer Science. To implement (a capability) through logic circuitry that is permanently connected within a computer or calculator and therefore not subject to change by

8. To determine or put into effect by physiological or neurological mechanisms; hard-wire: tilt is plausible that the basic organization of grammar is wired into the child's brainss, (Steven Pinker), The Language Instinct 1994.

v. intr. To send a telegram.

ldioms

down to the wire Informal To the very end, as in a race or contest.

under the wire

1. Sports. At the finish line.

2. Informal. Just in the nick of time; at the last moment.

[Middle English, from Old English w1r.]

wir/a •ble. adi.

[Note about stress marks: //primary); //(secondary), as in pronunciation (pronun//cia/tion)]

The American Herilage Dictionary of the English Language, © Houghton Mifflin Company 2003 🛈



APA | MLA | Chicago: Citing this entry wire. (2003). In The American Heritage® Dictionary of the English Language. Retrieved February 07, 2007, from http://www.xreferplus.com/entry/4148309

The limitations in claims 16 and 17 are interpreted as intended use. The Examiner notes that it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. Ex Parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). See MPEP 2114.

Claim Objection

Claims 16 and 17 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Art Unit: 1745

Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claims 16 and 17 do not further limit claim 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is unclear what constitutes "pieces of the polymer batteries".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 13, 14-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hiroi (JP 11-307124).

Hiroi discloses a secondary battery having a structure provided with a positive electrode composed by forming a positive electrode active material layer on a positive electrode collector, a negative electrode composed by forming a negative electrode active material layer on a negative electrode collector, and an ion conductive layer formed from a nonfluidic ion conductive composition containing spacer particles between the positive electrode active material layer and the negative electrode active

Art Unit: 1745

material layer, to control the distance between the positive electrode active material layer and the negative electrode active material layer. See Abstract and [0029].

The spacer particles can be a fiber particle, a spherical particle, a scale-like particle and can be made of rigid plastic particles, such as ceramics, alumina, glass, a divinylbenzene, or polymethylmethacrylate (claim 2).

Hiroi's positive electrode active material comprises LiCoO2 and the negative active material comprises carbon [0037,0038]. (claims 13 and 14)

As best understanding claim 15, the Examiner notes that Hiroi discloses a "plurality pf pieces of the polymer batteries" that are connected to form a battery.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiroi (JP 11-307124) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Koyannagi (US 6580026).

Hiroi discloses all the elements of claim 1. Hiroi does not disclose that the spacer is a metallic wire whose surface is coated with resin. However, Koyannagi teaches a cell in which a separator contains spacer particles. The spacer particles can also be rod-shaped (applicant's wire). With respect to the materials, use can be made

Art Unit: 1745

of known insulating particles of resins, organic, inorganic composites, metal oxides, ceramics and the like. (13:50-60)

Koyannagi further teaches that resin coated particles can be used. Particles coated with an adherent resin adhere to the metal oxide semiconductor film and/or electrode layer, so that the particles are immobilized and do not easily move to thereby exert an effect of uniform gap regulation and a stress absorbing effect. (14:10-20) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to resin-coat Koyannagi's rod-shaped particles as well because Koyannagi discloses that rod-shaped particles can be used. Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hiroi's particles for Koyannagi's rod-shaped particles coated with resin for the benefit of immobilizing the spacer material, as taught by Koyannagi.

Claims 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiroi (JP 11-307124) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of James (US 6451485)

Hiroi discloses all the elements of claim 1. Hiroi does not disclose a plurality of electrodes that are bipolar. However, James teaches of a bipolar battery. Such a battery typically comprises an electrode pair constructed such that cathode and anode active materials are disposed on opposite sides of an electrically conductive plate, that is, a bipolar plate. The cells that have this electrode pair are configured such that the cell-to-cell discharge path is comparatively shorter and dispersed over a large cross-sectional area, thus providing lower ohmic resistance and improved power capabilities

Art Unit: 1745

compared to unipolar batteries such as automobile batteries. The bipolar electrodes are stacked into a multicell battery such that the electrolyte and separators lie between adjacent bipolar plates (5:15-30). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form Hiroi's electrodes as bipolar electrodes as taught by James for the benefit of reducing the number of parts in the battery to establish electrical contact. It is noted that the connection is necessarily in series. It is further unpatentable because it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to connect the cells, whether in series or parallel, for the benefit of increasing either the voltage or the current of the cell, depending on the requirement of the application intended.

Further, Hiroi discloses that multiple electrode laminate bodies can also be formed by alternately arranging positive electrodes and negative electrodes between the multiple ion conductive layers. Hiroi discloses that because of the presence of the multiple electrode laminate bodies, the cell capacity is further increased in comparison with that of the single electrode laminate body [0035]. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to stack Hiroi's multiple unit cells for the benefit of increasing the cell performance. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to also connect the unit cells in series or parallel for the benefit of increasing either the voltage or the current of the cell, depending on the requirement of the application intended. It would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

Application/Control Number: 10/658,456 Page 8

Art Unit: 1745

was made to laminate the cells for the benefit of forming a close contact between individual elements.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-8699. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's trainer, Susy Tsang-Foster can be reached on 571-272-1293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ckl

Cynthia Lee

SUSYTSANG-FOSTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER